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• See most recent “WISE” talk at ISGC2021 for more details about WISE working groups [https://indico4.twgrid.org/event/14/contributions/313/](https://indico4.twgrid.org/event/14/contributions/313/)
The WISE Community

• Started in October 2015 - Joint - GEANT SIG-ISM & IGTF SCI
• Community members come from e-Infrastructures across the world
• WISE meetings 2019-22
  • LITNET - Kaunas, Lithuania - April 2019 (joint with SIG-ISM)
  • NSF Cybersecurity Summit, San Diego, USA - Oct 2019 (last time in person)
  • Five virtual meetings during COVID-19 pandemic
    • April 2020, Oct 2020, May 2021, Oct 2021, April 2022
    • All joint with GEANT SIG-ISM
• There was no full WISE Community meeting in autumn 2022
  • Difficult to work on trust and policy when not together in person
  • WISE SCI working group continued to meet (virtually) for much of 2022
Some recent WISE meetings

San Diego, CA, USA - Oct 2019
All attendees at NSF Cybersecurity Summit

Virtual - Oct 2020
Joint with SIG-ISM
Security for Collaborating Infrastructures - The WISE SCI working group (SCI-WG)
SCI-WG - Shared threats & shared users

- Infrastructures are subject to many of the same threats
  - Shared technology, middleware, applications and users
- User communities use multiple e-Infrastructures
  - Often using same federated identity credentials
- Security incidents often spread by following the user
  - E.g. compromised credentials
- e-Infrastructure security teams need to collaborate
  - Trust is required
A Trust Framework for Security Collaboration among Infrastructures

SCI version 2.0, 31 May 2017
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A Trust Framework for Security Collaboration among Infrastructures


3. Operational Security [OS]
   Each of the collaborating infrastructures has the following:
   - [OS1] A person or team mandated to represent the interests of security for the infrastructure.
   - [OS2] A process to identify and manage security risks on a regular basis.
   - [OS3] A security plan (e.g., architecture, requirements, controls, policies, processes) addressing issues, such as, authentication, authorisation, access control, physical and network security, risk mitigation, confidentiality, integrity and availability, disaster recovery, together with compliance mechanisms ensuring its implementation.
   - [OS4] A process to ensure that security patches are applied to operating systems, application software and firmware in a timely manner, and that patch application is recorded and communicated to the appropriate contacts.

- 29 Assertions across 5 Categories.
- How to assess the level of compliance?
Security for Collaborating Infrastructures ...

- Self Assessment of maturity
  - against SCI Trust Framework
- Was presented as “work in progress” at ISGC2021
  - Guidance was completed in 2021-22
WISE SCI v2 maturity assessment - ‘how-to’ guide update
At SIG-ISM - WISE Workshop, 21 April 2022
(and updated after publication in May 2022)

Ian Neilson (UKRI-STFC)
WISE words ....

- WISE SCI v2 Trust Framework
- draft guidance documents
- draft maturity assessment spreadsheets

Work was completed in May 2022

- All information now in one place on the WISE Wiki:
  - https://wiki.geant.org/display/WISE/SCIV2+How-to
SCI v2 How-To

- To provide guidance on interpreting the SCIV2 text
- https://wiki.geant.org/display/WISE/SCIV2+How-to

OS4 - Security Patching

Each of the collaborating infrastructures has:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What</th>
<th>&quot;A process to ensure that security patches are applied to operating systems, application software and firmware in a timely manner, and that patch application is recorded and communicated to the appropriate contacts.&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why</td>
<td>In order to maintain the security of a system to the fullest extent possible. Failure to apply security patches in a timely manner is one of the major causes of system compromise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How</td>
<td>Patching procedures should address the question of how the state of a system (e.g. has a security patch been applied?) is monitored and when and how required patches are applied. Procedures should also document the responsible persons and which actions must be taken. The investment of time in the deployment of software configuration management systems (<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_open-source_configuration_management_software">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_open-source_configuration_management_software</a>) is highly recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Checks:
- A system is in place to track the installed state of all systems
- Subscription or other means is available to receive update notices
- A process or frequent review is in place to correlate and act on the above
SCIv2 Assessment Chart (B)

- [https://wiki.geant.org/download/attachments/440303650/SCIv2-Assessment-Chart_V2_template_B.xlsx?api=v2](https://wiki.geant.org/download/attachments/440303650/SCIv2-Assessment-Chart_V2_template_B.xlsx?api=v2)
Security for Collaborating Infrastructures ...

- Developing the AARC Policy Development Kit (updating baseline templates)
Development of AARC PDK by WISE SCI-WG

- Policy templates are useful to new Infrastructures and help build trust and interoperability (as compliant with SCI Trust Framework)
- Involve experience from many Infrastructures and policy groups (including AEGIS) [https://aarc-project.eu/about/aegis/](https://aarc-project.eu/about/aegis/)
- WISE SCI-wg will collect feedback from Infrastructures
  - And use this if/when a new version of a template is required
- Unlike AUP, new templates may contain optional components
  - Infrastructures just use the components that work for them
Building on AARC PDK in WISE SCI-WG

https://aarc-project.eu/policies/policy-development-kit/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Area</th>
<th>New Template</th>
<th>Lead Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top Level</td>
<td>Infrastructure Policy</td>
<td>IRIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Protection</td>
<td>Privacy Statement</td>
<td>WLCG, IRIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Protection</td>
<td>Policy on the Processing of Personal Data</td>
<td>EGI, WLCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>Community Policy</td>
<td>IRIS, EOSC, GN5-1, IGTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>Acceptable Authentication Assurance</td>
<td>GN5-1, IGTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Security</td>
<td>Incident Response</td>
<td>eduGAIN, Sirtfi, GN5-1, EOSC &amp; many opsec groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Security</td>
<td>Service Operations</td>
<td>EOSC, IRIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Service Operations Security Policy

• Original AARC PDK Template: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1_cNMF3l3YVPqBBH0MPqx9DLAL1t3Z33_fJcjln8Xk48/edit#heading=h.idp93lqbm8kt

• In the UK, the IRIS Infrastructure used the PDK template - but made many changes to simplify and improve its Service Operations Security Policy (approved May 2021)

• The SCI working group used the IRIS version together with input from EOSC-hub, EOSC Future, EGI, ELIXIR, HIFIS and worked from October 2021 to April 2022

• See https://wiki.geant.org/display/WISE/Policy+Development+Kit

• The EOSC Security Baseline (Sep 2022) may serve as a better option for loosely coupled federations

• https://wiki.eoscfuture.eu/display/PUBLIC/EOSC+Security+Operational+Baseline

• EOSC FAQ Guidance at: https://wiki.eoscfuture.eu/display/EOSCF/EOSC+Security+Operational+Annotated+Baseline
The following security specific clauses are recommended for all infrastructures

1. Aim for the safe and secure operation of the Service, which shall not be detrimental to the Infrastructure nor its Participants.

2. We recommend including at least a generic contact point that ensures response regardless of individual personnel availability, and that does not expose personal data. However, you may wish to include additional individuals. Any contact is better than no contact.

Provide and maintain accurate contact information, including at least one Security Contact. <This contact SHOULD be responsive regardless of individual personnel availability.>

3. Respond to requests for assistance with regards to a security incident <or threat> <on an informal and best effort basis | within X business hours>, when received from another Participant or the Infrastructure Security team. This includes participation in scheduled exercises to test Infrastructure resilience as a whole.

4. Note that a Service may be composed of many components or layers of infrastructure, logs from all of which may need to be combined. You may wish to include more precise guidance to ensure a global overview of service-level traceability.
Data Protection and GDPR

- AARC PDK - old template: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1QseGQVzUQqvosqhjF2qlHUI4Swlhgb8oDe8N6NWcqE/edit

- Updated “Processing of Personal Data Policy Framework” has been worked on
  - During 2022 in the WISE SCI-WG
  - Failed to reach consensus - so given up for now
  - Minimising risks (AARC PDK guidance) is not accepted by the working group
  - Developing new “contentious” policy has been found to be difficult over Zoom

- We were also waiting/hoping for the new GEANT DP Code of Conduct version 2
  - This did not happen and will not - now just best practice/guidance
    - And not for transfers outside of the EU

- Work will continue in 2023
Community Combined Security Policy – new PDK template (work in progress)

- IRIS (UK) has produced new policy (awaiting approval - April 2023)
- Based on combining two policies (AARC PDK & EGI security policy)
  - Community Membership Management Policy & VO Operations Policy
- WISE SCI-WG PDK work in progress

**DRAFT WISE Combined Community Security Policy**

*February 2022 (Ian Neilson, UKRI-STFC)*

**Introduction**

Individuals, by virtue of their affiliation with a Community, may be authorised to access Community and Infrastructure resources. As such, to help protect those resources from damage or misuse, a Community has responsibilities in the manner it manages its membership and behaves towards the Infrastructure. This policy, by defining the relationship between a Community and a supporting Infrastructure, aims to establish a sufficient level of trust between Communities, Infrastructures and the Research and Education federations to enable reliable and secure Infrastructure operation.

**Policy**

Communities must -

1. collaborate with others in the reporting and resolution of security events or incidents arising from their Community’s participation in the Infrastructure and those affecting the Infrastructure as a whole (see Contact Information),
2. agree a name with the Infrastructure to be used to uniquely identify the Community in the Infrastructure (see Naming),
3. manage its membership to restrict it to bona fide individuals (see Membership Lifecycle),
4. suspend an individual’s membership on request of the Infrastructure Security Officer. (see Membership Lifecycle).
The future: WISE & SCI in 2023

• WISE work continues within the working groups
• SCI-WG
  • Will restart meetings soon
  • Will continue to work on updated policy templates from AARC PDK
    • Data Protection and GDPR
    • Community Security Policies
• Full WISE Community meetings still to be defined for 2023
  • Looks difficult to find a free time for a European WISE meeting before summer holidays
    • To be decided
  • Plans underway to propose a WISE meeting in the USA at the NSF Cybersecurity Summit
    • October 24-26 2023, LBNL, Berkeley, CA

• Please join the WISE mail list (all welcome)
  • https://lists.wise-community.org/sympa/info/wise

WISE SCI-WG
21 March 2023
More information

The original AARC PDK: https://aarc-community.org/policies/policy-development-kit/

• AARC guidance documents on policy: https://aarc-project.eu/guidelines/#policy

WISE Community: https://wise-community.org/

• WISE SCI-WG – Wiki - https://wiki.geant.org/display/WISE/SCI-WG
• WISE SCI-WG PDK updates - https://wiki.geant.org/display/WISE/Policy+Development+Kit

Join WISE mail list: https://lists.wise-community.org/sympa/info/wise
Join WISE SCI-WG: https://lists.wise-community.org/sympa/subscribe/sci-wg
Questions?

• And discussion ....
Backup slides
WISE Mission - reminder

- The WISE Community enhances best practice in information security for IT infrastructures for research.
- How? Through membership of working groups and attendance at workshops the members participate in the joint development of policy frameworks, guidelines, and templates.
Endorsement of SCI Version 2 at TNC17 (Linz)

1st June 2017

Infrastructures endorse the governing principles and approach of SCI, as produced by WISE, as a medium of building trust between infrastructures, to facilitate the exchange of security information in the event of a cross-infrastructure incident, and the collaboration of e-Infrastructures to support the process. These Infrastructures welcome the development of an information security community for the Infrastructures, and underline that the present activities by the research and e-Infrastructures should be continued and reinforced.

Endorsements have been received from the following infrastructures: EGI, EUDAT, GEANT, GridPP, MYREN, PRACE, SURF, WLCG, XSEDE, HBP

[https://www.geant.org/News_and_Events/Pages/supporting-security-for-collaborating-infrastructures.aspx](https://www.geant.org/News_and_Events/Pages/supporting-security-for-collaborating-infrastructures.aspx)
SCIv2 assessment in practice

- UK IRIS infrastructure use-case completed
- Some (personal – Ian Neilson) observations and questions –
  - ‘Good in parts’ infrastructure – how to score?
    - weakest, best, average .....
  - “Checks” are definitely not standalone
    - how much do checks help?
    - IRIS assessors very familiar with SCIv2 ...
  - Is yet more guidance needed on ‘score’ interpretation?
  - Requirements ‘creeping’ into the assessment
    - e.g. central logging is a scored item .....
  - Edits still needed
    - e.g. not useful to refer to AARC templates in the checks.