INDIGO IAM migration to Spring Authorization Server framework with a new customizable React user dashboard

Jacopo Gasparetto INFN - CNAF





International Symposium on Grids & Clouds (ISGC) 24-29 March 2024



INDIGO Identity and Access Management Service

First developed in the context of the **H2020 INDIGO DataCloud** project

~8 years since 1st INDIGO IAM release v0.3.0

Selected by the WLCG management board to be the core of the future, token-based WLCG AAI

INFN commitment for the foreseeable future, with the current support of several Italian and European projects:









INDIGO Identity and Access Management Service

- Supports multiple authentication mechanisms
 - SAML, X.509, OpenID Connect, local users, etc.
- Supports account linking
 - also SSH RSA keys and a certificate generated through an Online CA can be linked
- Provides a **registration service** for moderated and automatic user enrollment
 - it can be disabled
- Enforcement of AUP acceptance
- Exposes identity information, attributes and capabilities to services via JWT tokens
- **Easy integration** with ready-to-use components thanks to OpenID Connect/OAuth
- Can integrate existing **VOMS**-aware services
- Supports Web and non-Web access, delegation and token renewal



IAM core technologies

IAM is a Spring Boot application

- OIDC/OAuth 2.0 implementation currently based on the MitreID Connect
- deployed behind an NGINX
- stores data in a MariaDB/MySQL database
- Horizontally scalable
 - sessions and external caching stored into Redis

We deploy IAM as a containerized service on top of Kubernetes

• autoscaling, zero downtime rolling updates







IAM deployments at CNAF

	()) () () () () () () () () (Construction of the second secon	Construction of the second seco	Construction of a second construction of a second construction of a second construction of a second se	
Welcome to cta-ist @ INFH-CRAF	Interes I	Welcare to wing Serie and part of particular Part and part of particular Part and part of particular Part and part and particular Part and particular Part and part and particular Part and part and part and particular Part and part and par	Welcome to vierge Ignored use to constant Apply the excessor	Welcome to L1-computing Eq: a white C grad partners an C grad partners and C grad partners and C grad partners C grad pa	
				Martine A management and	~ 20 IAM instances
2005MM/magnings X 27 & Houtther-separatedistration(s, □ ☆ ○, Saure 16, □ > 16 = Austric Tense Boundation Basistent Basistent Basistent States 30 = Destructions	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●		
EUECOR Do Super Terre do rator o contro Do rator o contro Do rator Do rator Do rator Do rator Do rator Do rator Do rator	Vectore to thed Brack type between Operating the type be	because the section Because the section </td <td>Control Control Control<!--</td--><td>Freedrice</td><td>5</td></td>	Control Control </td <td>Freedrice</td> <td>5</td>	Freedrice	5



IAM deployments outside CNAF

~ 10 IAM instances





Releases roadmap

Latest release IAM v1.8.4 - released on 2024-03-25

Changelog





Current main development targets

- Auditing improvements
- Superseded obsolete dependencies
 - $\circ \quad \text{MitreID} \rightarrow \text{Spring Authorization Server}$
 - $\circ \quad \text{AngularJS} \rightarrow \text{React JS}$
- Improve **usability** for users & admins
- Scalability and Performances improvements
 - Access tokens not stored on database
 - Dedicated garbage collector service
 - Fine grained AuthZ with Open Policy Agent
- Interoperability focus
 - Support OIDC Federations
 - Improve conformance with AARC BluePrint Architecture and its guidelines
- Security
 - Add Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)

Migration to Spring Authorization Server



Spring Authorization Server

<u>Spring Authorization Server</u> is a framework, built on top of **Spring Security**, that provides a secure, lightweight and customizable foundation for building an **OAuth 2.1** and **OpenID Connect 1.0** Authorization Server implementation.

Why?

- We still rely on a forked and self-maintained version of MitreID Connect library which has no substantial support/evolution since few years
- It's a natural evolution of the current architecture Java/Spring-based
- Long-term support and easier maintainability
- Better OIDC/OAuth standards compliance
 - Compliance with OAuth 2.1 standard



OIDC/OAuth standards compliance



Where we are...

B IAM dev Latest test: 19 maart 2024	
Unmitigated threats	5
Deprecated features	5
Missing countermeasures	25%

Based on MitreID Connect library and OAuth 2.0 standard. OAuth 2.1 tests excluded because not supported.

First tests done with a rough application built on top of Spring Authorization Server

- already supports many OAuth standard grants
- many OIDC/OAuth endpoints are supported by default
- tests in progress

Threats

- Mitigated threats: 22
- Partially mitigated threats: 5
- Unmitigated threats: 1

Deprecated features

• Deprecated features detected: 0

Countermeasures

- Mandatory test cases failed: 5 (10,2%)
- Recommended test cases failed: 4 (28,6%)
- Optional test cases failed: 4 (80,0%)
- Overall test cases failed: 13 (19,1%)





New Dashboard A React based web application



New Dashboard: a React based web-application

Motivation

- Remove AngularJS (EOF) and JavaServer Pages (JSPs)
- Full support of modern HTML5 / TypeScript / CSS development stack based
- **Decouple** the frontend code from the INDIGO IAM codebase
- Handle AuthN/AuthZ via **OpenID Connect** and **OAuth2** frameworks
- Modern and lightweight rendering framework (React)
- **Customizable** by different organizations
- Reuse of standard and custom web components
- **Styles harmonization** for all future INFN web applications



OpenID Connect





Implementation details (Proof of Concept)

- Full browser-based application
- Public IAM client
- AuthN/AuthZ responsabilites managed by the web application
- OAuth2 Authorization Code flow (<u>RFC6749</u>) w/PKCE* extension (<u>RFC7636</u>)
- Requests to the INDIGO IAM endpoints authenticated via the obtained JWT access token
- Absence of any cookie-based session
- INDIGO IAM plays both the roles of Authorization Server and Resource Server



OAuth2 Authorization Code flow (PKCE is not shown in figure)



Where we are: Proof of Concept

- Simple and lightweight
- Fully executed within the browser as Single Page App (SPA)
- Straightforward deployment as a Docker image derived from NGINX
- Highly scalable
- Currently a demo version is deployed on our development Kubernetes cluster using Argo CD
- <u>GitHub Source</u>

INDIGO IAM for				
cnafsd Admin User		ADMIN USER	GROUPS	
● 	User Id	73f16d93-2441-4a50-88ff-85360d78o5b5	Test-001	
CCOUNT MANAGEMENT	Email	admin.user@infn.it	Analysis	elese -
A Home	Status	active		
	Created	Long time ago	🛃 Add Group	
GANIZATION MANAGEMENT	Last Modified	Today		
Groups			GROUP REQUESTS	
Clients	🖍 Edit Details 🖉 👂 🛛	hange Password	GROUP REQUESTS	
₱ Tokens		INKED ACCOUNTS	Username Teal User User 10 #552535 e652-4112-e635-61175550775 Group Teal:001 Group c617d586-54e6-411d-838-649677980001 0	Lhink
	OpenID Connect Issuer: https://accounts.google Subject:114132403455520317 SAML https://idprestbed/idp/shibbole umodil:3.6.1.4.1.9223.1.1.1.1 admin@example.org	223 C 1122	Uvernovne Tret Uver Uvernov Zachat 1 dawn 4/7.6 ef 1b0 7/27002/32650 Grupp Ten: 004 Grupp c017d386-54ed-411-64c38-6496779800004 0	Linink
			CERTIFICATES	
			Last Modified 2024-02-08714-3333.000+01:00	
Privacy Policy IAM Documentation				

Homepage example

Match name or ID v	Search clients					
Limit search to dynamically registered cli	ents					
+ New client Client name & id	Created	Dyn. registered	Informa	ation	Showing	g clie
oidc-agent:client-minio2-rmiccoli 005b7565-917e-4079-b927-957ff36251ac	a month ago	true	Redirect URIs edu.kit.data.oidc-agent:/redirec http://localhost:8080 http://localhost:15936 http://localhost:4242		rect	
			Scopes	profile	offline_access	
oidc-agent:dev-test-a6f3fa5294e5 00fe1de7-4b12-4153-b0ac-ae25442bd15d	a year ago	true	Redirect URIs edu.kit.data.oldc-agent:/redirect http://localhost:8080 http://localhost:7882 http://localhost:4242		rect	
			Scopes	profile	offline_access	

Scopes				
+ Nev	v Scope			
	Scope	Description		
-	la openid	log in using your identity		
	(profile	basic profile information		
1	🔤 email	email address		
	# address	physical address		
-	A phone	telephone number		
	offline_access	offline access		
1	scim:read	read access to SCIM user and groups		
P	scim:write	write access to SCIM user and groups		
0	registration:read	Grants read access to registration requests		
0	registration:write	Grants write access to registration requests		
0	scim	Authorizes access to IAM SCIM APIs		
0	registration	Authorizes access to IAM registration APIs		
0	proxy:generate	Authorizes access to IAM Proxy APIs		

IAM for iam-dev Federica Agostini Federica Agostini iam-dev Federica Agostini a Users VO administrator Groups fagostin (1) d331b9e3-c5bd-4e1c-a519-c9b93a093d0b 1 Email federica.ag Status 📌 Clients Created Updated 293 End time Scopes 33 Signed AUP **Q** Change Password



Current dashboard

			🔎 Rederica Agostini
			🌢 Users 🕤 Federica Agostini
	Groups		쓭
	dev		* Remove
	dev/xfers		× Remove
	RootGroup		× Remove
	test.vo		× Remove
.agostini@cnaf.infn.it	test.vo/G2		× Remove
✓ Active	test.vo/G2/G3		* Remove
a year ago	test.vo/G2/R1	voms.role wicg.optional-group	* Remove
a month ago	+ Add to group		
a year ago	Group requests		•
	No request found		
	Linked accounts		°o
	G https://accounts.google.com 112083506506006623603		
	SAML https://idp.infn.it/saml2/idp/metadata.php urr:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.13 92c310742a23c5158793a3a99b087440d9f48f8@infn.it		
	% Link external account		



Security Concerns

- The web application is **a public OAuth2 client** and thus cannot have secure secrets
- Access Token and Refresh Tokens available to the JavaScript code, but this is not considered
 a recommended practice
 - An attacker can more easily gain direct control over the access token and send legitimate requests to the Resource Server on behalf of the legitimate owner (i.e., gather users' information, edit users and groups etc)
 - Similarly, an attacker could exploit a silent Refresh Flow to obtain a new fresh set of tokens
- Risk of scope escalation if not handled properly with policies



Future outlooks



Possible scenarios

- Static website with Backend
 - **Backend For Frontend (BFF)**: the backend handles all OAuth2 responsibilities and proxies requests to the Resource Server without exposing any token to the browser
 - Mediating-Token Backend: the backend handles all OAuth2 responsibilities and return an access token to the browser, which will perform authorized requests to the Resource Server
- Server-side rendering
 - All OAuth2 responsibilities are handled by the backend
 - Rendering and computations completely run on the backend server exposing only the final HTML content
 - Requires the usage of a complex framework, such as Next.js
 - This is the current architecture



Conclusions

INDIGO IAM is a critical service widely adopted by many scientific communities. Our evolution roadmap includes:

- Migration to Spring Authorization Server
 - Go beyond the unsupported MitreID Connect library
 - Better compliance with OIDC / OAuth 2.1 standards
 - Rely on a more maintained and supported framework
- Development of a new dashboard
 - Go beyond old AngularJS based web user interface
 - Decouple frontend codebase from INDIGO IAM
 - Explore modern solutions to handle securely both the critical operations, such as the OAuth flows, and the critical endpoints (API)
 - A successful attempt of a Single-Page App (SPA) built in React, proved to be a good candidate to replace the current INDIGO IAM dashboard



Many thanks to all the contributors

Federica Agostini, Roberta Miccoli, Enrico Vianello, Stefano Zotti, Francesco Giacomini



Bkp



Core technologies in AAI

• OAuth 2

- A standard framework for **delegated authorization**
- Widely adopted in industry
- Main specification is <u>RFC 6749</u>
- OpenID Connect (OIDC)
 - An authentication layer built on top of OAuth 2
 - Core specification
- JSON Web Tokens (JWTs)
 - A **compact, URL-safe** means of representing attributes (**claims**) to be transferred between two or more parties
 - Main specification is <u>RFC 7519</u>





"sub": "e1eb758b-b73c-4761-bfff-adc793da409c",
"aud": "iam-client test",
"iss": "https://iam-test.indigo-datacloud.eu/",
"exp": 1507726410,
"iat": 1507722810,
"jti": "39636fc0-c392-49f9-9781-07c5eda522e3"

OAuth 2 roles

- Resource owner
 - A user that owns resources hosted at a service
- Client
 - An **application** that wants to have delegated access to user resources
 - \circ $\hfill It has to be registered on the Authorization Server$
 - Relying Party (RP) in OIDC

• Authorization Server (AS)

- A service that authenticates users and Clients
- It issues tokens to Clients that can be used to access user resources
- OpenID Provider (OP) in OIDC

• Resource Server (RS)

- A service that **holds protected resources** (*e.g.*, user data)
- It grants access based on tokens issued by the Authorization Server and presented by a Client
- It has to validate the access token
- \circ \quad Not mandatory to register a RS on the Authorization Server

The Authorization Server may be the same as the Resource Server



Authorization flow in theory

- 1. Authorization request to the resource owner
 - The Client (**A**) requests authorization from the resource owner to access a resource within a defined **scope**
 - the authorization request can be performed indirectly via the Authorization Server (AS)
 - The Client receives an **authorization grant**, which is a credential representing the resource owner's authorization
 - it depends on the authorization flow (aka grant type) used by the Client to perform the authorization requests
- 2. Authorization request to the AS token endpoint
 - The Client requests for an **access token** by authenticating with the AS and presenting the authorization grant
 - additional tokens can be requested at this stage





Authorization flow in theory

- 3. Access to the protected resource
 - The Client requests the protected resource from the Resource Server (B) and authenticates by presenting the access token
 - The RS validates the access token, and if valid, serves the request
 - Access is granted/denied according to the contents of the access token
 - local policies that map token claims into permissions may be applied by the RS





OAuth/OIDC token types

Access Token (AT)

- Defined within OAuth 2
- Is a string that the Client uses to make requests to the Resource Server
 - do not have to be in any particular format
- AT may be *bearer tokens*, meaning that those who hold the token can use it

ID token

- Defined within OIDC
- Is a JWT intended to be read by the OAuth Client, which is the *audience* of the token
- May also contain information about the user such as their name or email address
 - client applications can use it to build a user profile to personalize the user experience

Refresh token (RT)

- Defined within OAuth 2
- Is a string that the OAuth Client can use to get a new AT without the user's interaction
- Must not allow the Client to gain any access beyond the scope of the original grant

"iss": "https://example.auth0.com/",
"aud": "https://api.example.com/calendar/v1/",
"sub": "usr_123",
"scope": "read write",
"iat": 1458785796,
"exp": 1458872196

"iss": "https://server.example.com",
"sub": "24400320",
"aud": "s6BhdRkqt3",
"nonce": "n-0S6_WzA2Mj",
"exp": 1311281970,
"iat": 1311280970,
"auth_time": 1311280969

"jti": "a4e7f590-1601-4e37-b0c3-7bcf3f5a065d"



OAuth/OIDC grant types

Authorization grant types

=

Authorization Flows

Ways for an application to get tokens

=



IAM supported OAuth grant types

Authorization grant types, or authorization flows, are ways for an application to get tokens

- authorization code → mainly used by server-side web applications which can maintain the confidentiality of client credentials
- **device code** → used by clients that can not easily trigger a browser-based authorization and could run on a separate device
- **refresh token** \rightarrow it allows an application to act on behalf of a user and get tokens without user's interaction
- **client credentials** → used to obtain tokens not linked to user identities, since the client can make token requests by itself
- token exchange → satisfies the needs to access resources hosted by other downstream services on behalf of the user
- **implicit** (deprecated in OAuth 2.1) \rightarrow it simplifies the authorization code flow, mainly used by client-side web applications
- **password** (deprecated in OAuth 2.1) \rightarrow linked to user's credentials, does not support delegation



Identity-based vs Scope-based Authorization

Identity-based authorization

- the token brings information about attribute entitlement (*e.g.*, group/role membership)
- the service maps these attributes to a local authorization policy

Scope-based authorization

- the token brings information about which actions should be authorized at a service
- the service needs to understand these capabilities and honor them
- the authorization policy is managed at the VO level (*i.e.*, IAM)





Identity-based vs Scope-based Authorization

The two models can coexist, even in the context of the same application!

	Screenshot from a Goog	Screenshot from a Google Doc sharing tab			
	Share with others	Get shareable link			
	Link sharing on Learn more				
Scope-based authZ	Anyone with the link can comment •	Copy link			
	https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cNm4n	BI9ELhExwLxswpxLLNTuz8pT38-b_D			
	People				
Identity-based authZ	Enter names or email addresses	<i>i</i> -			
	Shared with Hannah Short, Andrea Ceccanti and	2 others			